ORIGINAL

		CLERKS OFFICE
IN THE MATTER OF:)) No.	JAN 1 2 2015
ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD MEETING)))	STATE OF ILLINORS Politation Control Board

REPERM

REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS held in the above entitled cause before Hearing Officer Deanna Glosser, called by the Illinois Pollution Control Board, taken by Steven Brickey, CSR, for the State of Illinois, 100 West Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois, on the 8th day of January, 2015, commencing at the hour of 11:00 a.m.

Page 2
APPEARANCES
MS. DEANNA GLOSSER, Chairman MR. JERRY O'LEARY, Board Member
MS. JENNIFER BURKE, Board Member
MS. CARRIE ZALEWSKI, Board Member MR. JOHN THERRIAULT, Clerk

MS. GLOSSER: We will now allow members of the public to address the Board concerning items that appear on today's agenda. I understand that we do have people here today that would like to speak. If you can come forward and state your name and who you represent for the record and share your comments.

MR. SPENCER: My name is Bill

Spencer. I'd like to talk on the cases concerning

Clinton Landfill. I would like to thank this

Board for the opportunity to state -- for me to

state and defend my position regarding the act

requirements under 39.2 for siting approval for

CLI's new hazardous waste disposal operations. As

of this Board's decision regarding PCB 13-22 three

official actions have occurred.

DeWitt County Board has ruled a siting was needed due to CLI's desire to accept hazardous waste and the Agency has modified CLI's permit that was granted for hazardous waste disposal at a facility. This was due to CLI's lack of meeting siting requirements under 39.2. Clinton Landfill had falsified Agency required documents stating they had County Board approval

to accept hazardous waste and two counties, DeWitt and Piatt, have just placed on its last election ballot an advisory referendum concerning the disposal of hazardous waste by CLI. Over 90 percent of the electorate said no to hazardous waste disposal by CLI.

what action does this Board suggest to enforce 39.2? This Board stated in PCB 13-22 because Section 39.2 is directed as a responsibility of a local authority the Board has previously held Section 39.2 is not properly the subject of an enforcement action. For all practical purposes, anyone and everyone agrees that 39.2 was not followed. Permitting and siting was -- are separate and distinct requirements for a hazardous waste landfill. CLI's failed attempts to expand its hazardous waste facility was a clear motive to violate 39.2.

Why is the lack of CLI's compliance with siting requirements under 39.2 not construed as an accessible citizen enforcement action? Is CLI following the law or is CLI's actions even moral? CLI has admitted lying and has no problem misleading government officials and

waste landfill. With over a million unreported violations, CLI continues to place the people in danger of being poisoned by its desire just to make money. CLI is operating an open hazardous waste landfill that is dumping leachate directly into Salt Creek. Explosions have been occurring at CLI's facility that can be seen miles away due to gas clouds shooting hundreds of feet into the air.

admitted to its plans to dispose of hundreds of federal hazardous waste cleanups in the Chicago area at its facility. CLI has openly made public threats against elected officials at public meetings. CLI has funded bullies to threaten elected officials and the people. CLI has threatened the news media and anyone that would exercise its First Amendment rights.

CLI has stopped paying hosting and recycling fees. A rogue landfill threatening the State of Illinois must be held accountable for not paying its taxes. CLI cannot be trusted.

Clearly, it has been involved in a conspiracy to

dispose of hazardous waste without first informing the government officials or the public. With this said, I do not see landfilling as a solution to the disposal of hundreds, if not thousands, of illegally dumped hazardous waste materials to the site. Landfilling is not the only choice the people have right -- the people have a right to know how tax dollars are spent.

Obviously, CLI wants the money that is in favor of landfilling, but it may make more sense to look for more permanent solutions, not temporary ones like landfilling. Some form of dialogue needs to be made between the government officials and the public. CLI has a great financial advantage and is clearly driven by greed as to public -- as to the public's simple desire for health and safety. You could look at this as a battle between David and Goliath or just putting the cart before the horse.

Clearly, 39.2 does come to -before permitting. It is a problem that must be
resolved. I ask the Board to work with the
public, not just CLI. Thank you.

MS. GLOSSER: Thank you,

Mr. Spencer. Is there someone else that would like to provide comments? Sir?

MR. WISSMILLER: My name is George Wissmiller. I'm a retired Champaign police officer. I've been involved in this issue for about six years. I would like to thank the Board for letting me speak. I'm going to talk a little bit about public opinion.

In this country, public opinion is what is supposed to direct government. That is the way it is supposed to work and generally that's the way it does work. Public opinion on this -- we had a referendum in DeWitt County. It was 90 percent opposed to this. We had a referendum in Piatt County. It was 96 percent opposed to it. We had record turnouts for both of these elections for a non-presidential year and 90 and 96 percent of the public agreeing on any issue is absolutely unheard of. Just absolutely unheard of.

I ran for office a little over two years ago. I was an independent candidate running in a Republican fortress county, DeWitt County. I won. I ran on the landfill issue. The

way I won I knocked on about a thousand doors. Of those thousand doors, I literally had two people who thought this was a good idea. Two out of a thousand. Well, I didn't talk to all of them, but about 700. We have meetings about this. The attendance of this meeting -- at these meetings with the exception of the CLI representatives are universally opposed to it. We all know about governmental meetings. I mean, look around here today. We've got, what, three people here. We get 250 people, 300 people show up for some of these meetings all entirely opposed to this thing.

The public is what is supposed to direct government in this county. The public has given government its marching orders on this one. The public does not want this to happen. We have proof, after proof, after proof, after proof that this is the case. I have asked a question for six years now. I modified it a little bit, but I have asked the same question over and over. I have yet to get an answer to it. Will somebody please explain to me how it can possibly be a good idea, a safe idea, a responsible idea, to dump some of the most deadly toxins known on top of the

drinking water supply for 850,000 people?

I own property in DeWitt County, up in the northeast corner of DeWitt County. It is about probably 12 or 15 miles away from the landfill. I'm not suggesting that we do this, but my property is not over the aquifer. We move 15 miles we've got a better solution. I wouldn't be happy with that solution. A plasma furnace is something that would be a responsible solution, but there are better options available. Why aren't we exploring those? This is just about the worst option available and this is the one that we're pushing for. Thank you very much.

MS. GLOSSER: Thank you, sir.

Anybody else that wishes to make comments? I want
to thank you all for coming here on such a cold,
blistery winter morning and providing comments to
us.

I want you to be aware that your comments have been recorded by a court reporter and they will be published for the record in our online system and so that will be -- they will be there for everybody else to share in the future. So is there anybody else that wishes to speak?

Page 10 Anybody in the Chicago office that wishes to speak? Seeing no one coming forward, this concludes the time the Board has set aside to allow the public to address the Board. We will now proceed with the next item on our agenda.

```
Page 11
     STATE OF ILLINOIS
1
2
                            SS.
     COUNTY OF COOK
3
4
5
           I, Steven Brickey, Certified Shorthand
    Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported in
6
7
     shorthand the proceedings had at the trial
     aforesaid, and that the foregoing is a true,
8
9
     complete and correct transcript of the proceedings
10
     of said trial as appears from my stenographic
11
     notes so taken and transcribed under my personal
12
     direction.
13
           Witness my official signature in and for
     Cook County, Illinois, on this 12th day of
14
     January, A.D., 2015.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
                           8 West Monroe Street
                           Suite 2007
22
                          Chicago, Illinois 60603
                           Phone: (312) 419-9292
23
                          CSR No. 084-004675
24
```

				Page 12
<u> </u>	an 4:3,12,21 5:5	ballot 4:3	Chairman 2:2	8:14 9:2,3 11:3
A	7:22 8:21	battle 6:18	Champaign 7:4	11:14
a 2:1,1,1 3:17,21	and 3:5,6,7,12	be 5:8,22,23		court 9:20
4:9,10,16,17	3:19 4:1,2,13	, ,	Chicago 1:6 5:13 10:1	
5:1,2,21,24 6:3		6:13,21 8:22		Creek 5:7
6:7,14,18,21	4:14,15,23,24	9:7,9,19,21,22	11:22	CSR 1:5 11:20
7:4,7,13,14,17	5:17,18,21	9:22	choice 6:6	11:23
7:21,23 8:1,3,3	6:14,15,17,18	because 4:9	citizen 4:21	D
8:18,19,22,23	7:11,17,18 8:20 9:12,17	been 5:7,24 7:5 9:20	cleanups 5:13	danger 5:4
8:23 9:7,8,9,16	,		clear 4:17	David 6:18
9:20 11:8	9:21,22 11:8,9	before 1:4 6:19	clearly 5:24 6:15	day 1:6 11:14
A.D 11:15	11:11,13	6:21	6:20	deadly 8:24
a.m 1:7	answer 8:21	being 5:4,11	Clerk 2:4	Deanna 1:4 2:2
about 7:6,8 8:1	any 7:18	better 9:7,10	CLI 4:4,6,22,23	decision 3:15
8:5,5,8 9:4,11	anybody 9:15,24	between 6:13,18	5:3,5,11,14,16	defend 3:12
above 1:4	10:1	Bill 3:8	5:17,20,23 6:9	desire 3:18 5:4
absolutely 7:19	anyone 4:13 5:18	bit 7:8 8:19	6:14,23 8:7	6:16
7:19		blistery 9:17 Board 1:2,5 2:2	CLI's 3:14,18,19	DeWitt 3:17 4:1
accept 3:18 4:1	appear 3:3 appears 11:10	,	3:21 4:16,19	7:13,23 9:2,3
accessible 4:21	approval 3:13	2:3,3 3:2,11,17	4:22 5:8 Clinton 3:10,23	dialogue 6:13
accountable	3:24	3:24 4:7,8,10		didn't 8:4
5:22	aquifer 9:6	6:22 7:6 10:4,5 Board's 3:15	clouds 5:9 cold 9:16	direct 7:10 8:14
act 3:12	are 4:15 6:8 8:7	both 7:16		directed 4:9
action 4:7,12,22	9:10		come 3:5 6:20	direction 11:12
actions 3:16	area 5:14	Brickey 1:5 11:5 11:20	coming 9:16 10:3	directly 5:6
4:23	area 5:14 aren't 9:11			disposal 3:14,21
address 3:2 10:5	around 8:9	bullies 5:16 BURKE 2:3	commencing 1:7	4:4,6 6:4
admitted 4:23	as 3:14 4:9,21		comments 3:7	dispose 5:12 6:1
5:12	6:3,16,16,17	but 6:10 8:4,20 9:5,10	7:2 9:15,17,20	distinct 4:15
advantage 6:15	11:10	by 1:5,5 4:4,6	complete 11:9	do 3:4 6:3 9:5
advisory 4:3	aside 10:4	5:4 6:15 9:20	compliance 4:20	11:6
aforesaid 11:8	ask 6:22	3:4 0:13 9:20	concerning 3:3 3:9 4:3	documents 3:24
after 5:11 8:17	asked 8:18,20	С		does 4:7 6:20
8:17,17	at 1:7 3:21 5:8	C 2:1	concludes 10:4	7:12 8:16
against 5:15	5:14,15 6:17	called 1:5	conspiracy 5:24 construed 4:21	dollars 6:8
Agency 3:19,23	8:6 11:7	can 3:5 5:8 8:22		doors 8:1,2
agenda 3:3 10:6	attempts 4:16	candidate 7:22	continues 5:3	drinking 9:1
ago 7:22	attempts 4.10	cannot 5:23	Control 1:2,5	driven 6:15
agreeing 7:18	authority 4:10	CARRIE 2:3	Cook 11:3,14 corner 9:3	due 3:18,21 5:8
agrees 4:13	available 9:10	cart 6:19	corner 9:5	dump 8:23
air 5:10	9:12	case 8:18	correct 11:9	dumped 6:5
all 4:12 8:4,8,12	aware 9:19	cases 3:9	counties 4:1	dumping 5:6
9:16		cause 1:4	country 7:9	
allow 3:1 10:5	and and are discounted to the second		LUUHIIV /.Y	ı <u> </u>
	away 5:8 9:4	Certified 11:5		E
Amendment	B	Certified 11:5 certify 11:6	county 3:17,24	$\frac{\mathbf{E}}{\mathbf{E} 2:1,1}$
5:19		Certified 11:5 certify 11:6		

				
elected 5:15,17	fortress 7:23	3:19,20 4:1,4,5	7:5	literally 8:2
election 4:2	forward 3:5	4:16,17 5:1,5	is 3:8 4:9,11,19	little 7:7,21 8:19
elections 7:17	10:3	5:13 6:1,5	4:22,22 5:5,6	local 4:10
electorate 4:5	from 9:4 11:10	health 6:17	6:6,10,15,21	look 6:11,17 8:9
else 7:1 9:15,23	funded 5:16	Hearing 1:4	7:1,3,10,10,10	lying 4:23
9:24	furnace 9:8	held 1:4 4:11	7:11,19 8:13	
enforce 4:8	future 9:23	5:22	8:13,18 9:4,6,8	M
enforcement		here 3:4 8:9,10	9:11,12,24	made 5:14 6:13
4:12,21	G	9:16	11:8	make 5:5 6:10
entirely 8:12	gas 5:9	hereby 11:6	issue 7:5,18,24	9:15
entitled 1:4	generally 7:11	horse 6:19	it 5:24 6:10,21	marching 8:15
even 4:23	George 7:3	hosting 5:20	7:11,12,13,15	materials 6:5
everybody 9:23	get 5:1 8:11,21	hour 1:7	7:16 8:8,19,21	MATTER 1:1
everyone 4:13	given 8:15	how 6:8 8:22	8:22 9:3	may 6:10
exception 8:7	Glosser 1:5 2:2	hundreds 5:9,12	item 10:6	me 3:11 7:7 8:22
exercise 5:19	3:1 6:24 9:14	6:4	items 3:3	mean 8:9
expand 4:17	going 7:7		its 4:2,17 5:4,12	media 5:18
explain 8:22	Goliath 6:18	I	5:14,19,23	meeting 1:2 3:22
exploring 9:11	good 8:3,22	I 3:3,10 6:3,22	8:15	8:6
Explosions 5:7	got 8:10 9:7	7:6,21,22,24		meetings 5:16
exposed 5:11	government	7:24 8:1,1,2,4	J	8:5,6,9,12
	4:24 6:2,13	8:9,18,19,20	January 1:6	Member 2:2,3,3
F	7:10 8:14,15	8:21 9:2,7,15	JENNIFER 2:3	members 3:2
facility 3:21	governmental	9:19 11:5,6	JERRY 2:2	miles 5:8 9:4,7
4:17 5:8,14	8:9	I'd 3:9	JOHN 2:4	million 5:2
failed 4:16	granted 3:20	I'm 7:4,7 9:5	just 4:2 5:4 6:18	misleading 4:24
falsified 3:23	great 6:14	I've 7:5	6:23 7:19 9:11	modified 3:19
favor 6:10	greed 6:15	idea 8:3,23,23		8:19
federal 5:13		8:23	K	money 5:5 6:9
fees 5:21	<u>H</u>	if 3:5 6:4	knocked 8:1	Monroe 11:21
feet 5:9	had 3:23,24 7:13	illegally 6:5	know 6:8 8:8	moral 4:23
financial 6:15	7:14,16 8:2	Illinois 1:2,5,6,6	known 8:24	more 6:11,11
first 5:19 6:1	11:7	5:22 11:1,14	T	morning 9:17
followed 4:14	happen 8:16	11:22	L L 2 22 4 10	most 8:24
following 4:22	happy 9:8	in 1:1,4 4:8 5:3	lack 3:22 4:19	motive 4:18
for 1:5 3:6,11,11	has 3:17,19 4:10	5:13,24 6:10	landfill 3:10,23	move 9:6
3:13,13,20	4:23,24 5:11	7:5,9,13,15,23	4:16 5:2,6,21	Mr 2:2,4 3:8 7:1
4:12,15 5:22	5:14,16,17,20	8:14 9:2,3,21	7:24 9:5	7:3
6:11,17 7:5,7	5:24 6:14 8:15	9:23 10:1 11:6	landfilling 6:3,6	MS 2:2,3,3 3:1
7:16,17,21	10:4	11:13	6:10,12	6:24 9:14
8:11,19 9:1,13	have 3:4,16 4:2	independent	last 4:2	much 9:13
9:16,21,23	5:7 6:7,7 8:5	7:22	law 4:22	must 5:22 6:21
11:13	8:17,18,20,21	informing 6:1	leachate 5:6	my 3:8,12 7:3
foregoing 11:8	9:20	into 5:7,9	letting 7:7	9:6 11:10,11
form 6:12	hazardous 3:14	involved 5:24	like 3:5,9,10	11:13
			6:12 7:2,6	
L	I	I	I	

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10:3 ones 6:12	poisoned 5:4	REPORT 1:4	
112.1	OHEN O. I.Z.	police 7:4	reported 11:6	simple 6:16 sir 7:2 9:14
	online 9:22	Pollution 1:2,5	reporter 9:20	site 6:6
	only 6:6	position 3:12	11:6	siting 3:13,18,22
moducu J. 10	open 5:5	possibly 8:22	represent 3:6	4:14,20
meeus 0.15	openly 5:14	practical 4:13	representatives	six 7:6 8:19
	operating 5:5	previously 4:11	8:7	so 9:22,24 11:11
	operations 3:14	probably 9:4	Republican 7:23	solution 6:3 9:7
	opinion 7:8,9,12	problem 4:24	required 3:23	9:8,9
10:3 11:23	opportunity	6:21	requirements	solutions 6:11
non-president	3:11	proceed 10:6	3:13,22 4:15	some 6:12 8:11
7:17	opposed 7:14,16	proceedings 1:4	4:20	8:24
northeast 9:3	8:8,12	11:7,9	resolved 6:22	somebody 8:21
	option 9:12	proof 8:17,17,17	responsibility	someone 7:1
5:23 6:3,4,6,12	options 9:10	8:17	4:10	something 9:9
6:23 8:16 9:5,6	or 4:22 6:2,18	properly 4:11	responsible 8:23	speak 3:5 7:7
notes 11:11	9:4	property 9:2,6	9:9	9:24 10:2
HOW 2:1 0:12	orders 8:15	provide 7:2	retired 7:4	Spencer 3:8,9
10.0	our 9:21 10:6	providing 9:17	right 6:7,7	7:1
	out 8:3	public 3:2 5:14	rights 5:19	spent 6:8
	over 4:4 5:2 7:21	5:15 6:2,14,16	rogue 5:21	SS 11:2
O'LEARY 2:2	8:20,20 9:6	6:23 7:8,9,12	ruled 3:17	state 1:5 3:6,11
	own 9:2	7:18 8:13,14	running 7:23	3:12 5:22 11:1
occurred 3:16	P	8:16 10:5	<u> </u>	stated 4:8
occurring 5:7	P 2:1,1	public's 6:16	$\frac{\mathbf{S}}{\mathbf{S} \cdot 2 : 1}$	stating 3:24
01 1.11, 1,0,0,7 3.2	paying 5:20,23	published 9:21	safe 8:23	stenographic
0.120,==,0	PCB 3:15 4:8	purposes 4:13	safe 6:23 safety 6:17	11:10
7.10,12,17 3.7	people 3:4 5:1,3	pushing 9:13	said 4:5 6:3	Steven 1:5 11:5
3.5,12,12,22	5:17 6:7,7 8:2	putting 6:18	11:10	11:20
6:1,4,4,10,12	8:10,11,11 9:1	0	Salt 5:7	stopped 5:20
7:16,18,19,20	percent 4:5 7:14	question 8:18,20	same 8:20	Street 1:6 11:21
0.1,5,1,0,7,11	7:15,18	question 0.10,20	Section 4:9,11	subject 4:12
8:24,24 9:3	permanent 6:11	R	see 6:3	such 9:16
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	permit 3:20	R 2:1	Seeing 10:3	suggest 4:8
O11100 / .2 1 1 U . 1	permitting 4:14	ran 7:21,24	seen 5:8	suggesting 9:5 Suite 11:21
official 3:16	6:21	Randolph 1:6	sense 6:11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	personal 11:11	record 3:7 7:16	separate 4:15	supply 9:1 supposed 7:10
	Phone 11:22	9:21	set 10:4	7:11 8:13
UIIICIAIS T. LT	Piatt 4:2 7:15	recorded 9:20	share 3:7 9:23	system 9:22
J.1J,1 / U.4,17	place 5:3	recycling 5:21	shooting 5:9	
	placed 4:2	referendum 4:3	shorthand 11:5	T
7.12910,270.1	plans 5:12	7:13,15	11:7	taken 1:5 11:11
0.15,215.10	plasma 9:8	regarding 3:12	show 8:11	talk 3:9 7:7 8:4
	please 8:22	3:15	signature 11:13	tax 6:8
0.10 7.12	_		3	 I

		-		
taxes 5:23	thought 8:3	us 9:18	7:12	
temporary 6:12	thousand 8:1,2	us 7.10	worst 9:12	5
thank 3:10 6:23	8:4	v	would 3:5,10	6
6:24 7:6 9:13	thousands 6:4	very 9:13	5:18 7:1,6 9:9	
9:14,16	threaten 5:16	violate 4:18	wouldn't 9:7	60603 11:22
that 3:3,4,4,20	threatened 5:18	violations 5:3	wouldin 19:/	7
4:14 5:6,8,18	threatening 5:21		X	700 8:5
6:10,21 7:1,10	threats 5:15	W		700 8.3
8:18 9:5,8,9,12	three 3:15 8:10	want 5:1 8:16	Y	8
9:15,19,22,24	time 10:4	9:15,19	year 7:17	8 11:21
10:1 11:6,8	to 3:2,5,9,10,11	wants 6:9	years 7:6,22	850,000 9:1
that's 7:12	3:11,18,18,21	was 3:18,20,21	8:19	8th 1:6
the 1:1,4,4,5,5,6	4:1,5,8,17,18	4:14,15,17	yet 8:21	
1:7 3:2,2,6,9	5:1,3,4,9,12,12	7:14,15,22 8:3	you 3:5,6 6:17	9
3:11,12,19 4:3	5:16,24 6:3,5,7	waste 3:14,19,20	6:23,24 9:13	90 4:4 7:14,17
4:5,10,11,19	6:11,13,16,16	4:1,4,6,16,17	9:14,16,19	96 7:15,18
4:22 5:1,3,9,13	6:20,22 7:2,6,7	5:2,6,13 6:1,5	your 3:6,7 9:19	
5:17,18,22 6:2	7:10,11,14,16	water 9:1		
6:2,4,5,6,6,7,9	8:4,8,12,14,16	way 7:11,12 8:1	Z	
6:13,14,16,19	8:21,21,22,23	we 3:1,4 7:13,14	ZALEWSKI 2:3	4
6:19,22,22 7:6	9:15,16,17,19	7:16 8:5,8,10		
7:11,12,18,24	9:23,24 10:1,4	8:16 9:5,6,11	0	
7:24 8:5,7,7,13	10:5	10:5	084-004675	
8:14,16,18,20	today 3:4 8:10	we're 9:13	11:23	
8:24,24 9:3,4,6	today's 3:3	we've 8:10 9:7	1	
9:11,12,21,23	touay \$ 3.3	Well 8:4		
10:1,4,4,5,5,6	top 8.24 toxins 8:24	West 1:6 11:21	100 1:6	
11:7,7,8,9	transcribed	what 4:7 5:1	11:00 1:7	
them 8:4	11:11	7:10 8:10,13	12 9:4	
them 8.4 there 7:1 9:10	transcript 11:9	who 3:6 8:3	13-22 3:15 4:9	
9:23,24	trial 11:7,10	Why 4:19 9:10	15 9:4,6	
THERRIAULT	true 11:8	will 3:1 8:21	2	
2:4	trusted 5:23	9:21,22,22	2007 11:21	
these 7:17 8:6,12	turnouts 7:16	10:5	2007 11:21 2015 1:6 11:15	
they 3:24 5:1	two 4:1 7:22 8:2	winter 9:17	250 8:11	
9:21,22	8:3	wishes 9:15,24	200.11	
9.21,22 thing 8:12	0.3	10:1	3	
this 3:10,15,21	U	Wissmiller 7:3,4	300 8:11	
4:7,8 6:2,17	under 3:13,22	with 4:20 5:2	312 11:22	
7:5,9,13,14 8:3	4:20 11:11	6:2,22 8:7 9:8	39.2 3:13,22 4:8	
8:5,6,12,14,15	understand 3:4	10:6	4:9,11,14,18	
8:16,18 9:5,11	unheard 7:19,19	without 6:1	4:20 6:20	
9:12 10:4	universally 8:8	Witness 11:13		
11:14	unreported 5:2	won 7:24 8:1	4	
those 8:2 9:11	up 8:11 9:3	work 6:22 7:11	419-9292 11:22	
11050 0.2 J.11				
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>